

#### **64. Scale to Measure Attitude of the Agricultural Scientists towards Agricultural Publications (APs)**

**Authors:** Makwan, A. R. and Trivedi, M. S. (2010)

##### **Statements**

1. Agril-Publications (APs) provide solutions to tackle current agricultural situations. (+)
2. APs are less effective than other media in getting farmers' feedback. (-)
3. APs help in harmonizing scientists, extension personnel and farmers. (+)
4. APs are incompetent to channelize functioning between researchers and extension agents. (-)
5. APs are potential media to connect agricultural research, education and extension management. (+)
6. APs are inefficient to address every areas of agriculture. (-)
7. APs are encouraging means to aid current extension systems. (+)
8. APs have insignificant contribution in farming process. (-)
9. APs encourage farmers effectively to purchase farm inputs. (+)
10. I consider Agril-publications as useless part in my life. (-)
11. Existing infrastructure of APs is capable to meet farmers' needs. (+)
12. I have never experienced scientists advising me to contribute through APs. (-)
13. APs contribute productively for farmers. (+)
14. Agril-publications are not my areas of interest. (-)
15. APs provide sound opportunity to farmers know information in local language. (+)
16. It is difficult to address ideas through Agril-publications. (-)
17. APs facilitate integration of information sources. (+)
18. I think agricultural publication is possible only by trained specialists. (-)
19. APs are the strength of progress of agricultural extension. (+)
20. I visualize significance of Agril-publications for my future work. (+)

**Value of Reliability: 0.89**